

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,117	02/02/2001	Stephen L. Dewey	BSA 00-34	9414	
26302	7590 08/11/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROOKHAVEN SCIENCE ASSOCIATES/			JIANG, SH	JIANG, SHAOJIA A	
	VEN NATIONAL LAB) - P.O. BOX 5000	ORATORY	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
UPTON, NY	11973		1617	*	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/776,117	DEWEY ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shaojia A Jiang	1617	:				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 5_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 July 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): see attachment.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attachment.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•					
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.			S i				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6,9,13-20,24,28-31,47-50,54 ar	<u>nd 58-61</u> .	SHAD HA ANNO					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.		SHAOJIA ANNA J PATENT EXAMIN	IANG JED				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Advisory Action

This Office Action is a response to Applicant's response/Remarks <u>after FINAL</u> filed on July 29, 2004. Note that no amendment is filed after FINAL.

3, The terminal disclaimer filed on July 29, 2004, disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,395,783 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Therefore, the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of record in the Final Office Action is withdrawn.

5. The rejection of Claims 1-4, 6, 9, 13-20, 24, 28-31, 47-50, 54, and 58-61 made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blum et al. (5,189,064 of record) and Phillips (3,639,607, of record) in view of Carmosin et al. (5,332,736, of record) in the Final Office Action dated February 26, 2004, is maintained.

Applicant's remarks filed July 29, 2004 with respect to this rejection have been fully considered but are unpersuasive for reasons of record stated in the Final Office Action dated February 26, 2004.

Again, Applicant's testing results for topiramate in the Examples 13-14 of the specification at pages 85-86 herein have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive as to the nonobviousness and/or <u>unexpected results</u> of the claimed invention over the prior art. Examples 13-14 provide merely the testing on cocaine and

Application/Control Number: 09/776,117

Art Unit: 1617

nicotine addition. Thus, the evidence in the examples is also **not** commensurate in scope with the claimed invention, i.e., <u>any</u> addition-related behavior. See MPEP § 716.02(d). Moreover, the specification provides no <u>comparison</u> with the closest prior art in support of nonobviousness for the instant claimed invention over the prior art.

Note that arguments of counsel cannot take the place of factually supported objective evidence. See, e.g., In re Huang, 100 F.3d 135,139-40, 40 USPQ2d 1685, 1689 (Fed. Cir. 1996); In re De Blauwe, 736 F.2d 699, 705, 222 USPQ 191, 196 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

Further, the clear explanation of pointing out exactly what facts are established therein and relied upon by applicant is not seen in the specification (see page 20).

Applicant has the burden to explain the experimental evidence. See *In re Borkowski and Van Venrooy* 184 USPQ 29 (CCPA 1974).

For the above stated reasons, said claims are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). Therefore, said rejection is adhered to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jiang, whose telephone number is (571)272-0627. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, Ph.D., can be reached on (571)272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/776,117

Art Unit: 1617

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Anna Jiang, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, AU 1617

August 6, 2004